



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/675,420	09/30/2003	William H. Cochran	ROC920030199US1	6180	
7	7590 02/28/2006			EXAMINER	
Robert R. Williams			SCHNEIDER, JOSHUA D		
IBM Corporati	on, Dept. 917		<u></u>		
3605 Highway 52 North			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester, MN 55901-7829			2182		

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/675,420	COCHRAN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joshua D. Schneider	2182		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b. In this control of the	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3 This action is FINAL. 2b) □ 3 Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matters	• •		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rrection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	Paper No(s)/M	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:			

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1, 8, 15, and dependant claims 2-7, 9-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 3. With regards to claims 1, 8, and 15, the system is not taught in such a way that all instances of the claimed set, integers, can be made or used by one of ordinary skill in the art.

 The claimed set of N instances of an element, where N is an integer is not taught for any negative instance, though such instances would seem to be unable to be made or used, they are encompassed by the current language of the claims.
- 4. The dependant claims 2-7, 9-14, and 16-20, are rejected for incorporating the non-enabled subject matter of the claims upon which they depend.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 8, 15, and dependant claims 2-7, 9-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,420

Art Unit: 2182

7. With regards to claims 1, 8, and 15, the system is not described in such a way that all instances of the claimed set, integers, can be made or used by one of ordinary skill in the art. The claimed set of N instances of an element, where N is an integer is not taught for any negative instance, though such instances would seem to be unable to be made or used, they are encompassed by the current language of the claims. The limits of the scope of the claimed invention are therefore unclear.

Page 3

- 8. With further regards to claim 15, it is also unclear what the difference is between an I/O line and spare I/O line. It would seem that any I/O line not being used at the current moment is spare for the purposes of a current transaction. For instance, any lines to a floppy disk drive not being used because the floppy drive is not being used is spare to the other operations ongoing in a standard computer. It is also not clear how such a spare I/O line could be connected to the limited inputs of the multiplexers of the dependant claims. Also it appears that the word "line" on line 16 of claim 15 should be "lines."
- With regards to claims 7, 14, and 20, it is unclear how a multiplexer shifts signals on an 9. I/O line to an adjacent multiplexer. This seems to be beyond the normal operation of a multiplexer, but the multiplexers are not described as being modified in any way. This would seem to allow the multiplexer to have additional output functions that cannot be found to exist in the basic multiplexer function. It may be that there is some sort of demultiplexing associated in a short feedback loop with the multiplexer, but this is not described or found in the claims or specification in a way that would allow one of ordinary skill in the art to make or use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,420 Page 4

Art Unit: 2182

10. The dependant claims 2-7, 9-14, and 16-20, are rejected for incorporating the non-

enabled subject matter of the claims upon which they depend.

11. All further rejections and objections are made in view of the specification as best

understood in light of the previous objections and rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

13. Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11-16, 19, and 20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,909,594 to Ross et al.

14. With regards to claim 1, Ross teaches N I/O lines (Fig. 12, any data lines that input data

from one point and output it to another), wherein N is an integer (selecting N to be 3), N internal

networks (Fig. 12, elements 606-609 and there respective connections forming networks), N

internal multiplexers for routing signals from individual I/O lines to individual internal networks

in response to control signals (Fig. 12, elements 611-614, control signals for these multiplexers

being inherent to the use of multiplexers), and a multiplex controller for producing said control

signals (control signals inherent to the multiplexers must inherently be from some controller of

the multiplexers, see column 17, line 40, through column 18, line 3).

15. With regards to claim 8, Ross teaches N I/O lines (Fig. 12, any data lines that input data

from one point and output it to another), wherein N is an integer (selecting N to be 3), N

addressable arrays (Fig. 12, elements 601-604), N multiplexers for routing signals from

Art Unit: 2182

individual I/O lines to said addressable arrays in response to control signals (Fig. 12, elements 611-614, control signals for these multiplexers being inherent to the use of multiplexers); and a multiplex controller for producing said control signals (control signals inherent to the multiplexers must inherently be from some controller of the multiplexers, see column 17, line 40, through column 18, line 3).

16. With regards to claim 15, Ross teaches a processor having at least W I/O lines (Fig. 13, element 703, and connection to element 702, at least 1 I/O line inherent to connection); a bus for transferring at least W I/O bits to and from said processor (Fig. 13, element 703, and connection to element 702, at least 1 I/O line inherent to connection), a memory module attached to said bus (Fig. 13, element 704, at least 1 I/O line inherent to connection), said memory module for storing and saving a W-bit wide word (Fig. 13, element 704, column 5, lines 44-56), wherein said W-bit wide word is applied to said bus (Fig. 13, element 704, column 5, lines 44-56, inherently must be applied in order to store in memory), wherein said memory module is comprised of a plurality of memory devices having more than W I/O lines, wherein at least one memory device has a spare I/O line that is not connected to said bus(connected to bus and controller), and wherein said at least one memory device (memory controller) includes: said spare I/O line (connection to packet switched router as discussed above); N-1 I/O lines (Fig. 12, any data lines that input data from one point and output it to another), wherein N is an integer (selecting N to be 3), N addressable arrays, at least one of which is associated with said spare I/O line (Fig. 12, elements 601-604); N multiplexers for routing signals from said N-1 I/O lines and from said spare I/O line to said addressable arrays in response to control signals (Fig. 12, elements 611-614, control signals for these multiplexers being inherent to the use of multiplexers); and a multiplex controller for

Application/Control Number: 10/675,420 Page 6

Art Unit: 2182

producing said control signals (control signals inherent to the multiplexers must inherently be from some controller of the multiplexers, see column 17, line 40, through column 18, line 3), wherein data on at least one of said N-1 I/O line can be stored in and/or read from said array associated with said spare I/O line (column 17, line 40, through column 18, line 3, from any other multiplexed line).

- 17. With regards to claims 2, 9, and 16, Ross teaches at least one multiplexer is an N-to-l multiplexer (Fig. 12, elements 611-614, when N equals 3).
- 18. With regards to claims 4 and 11, Ross teaches at least one multiplexer is a 3-to-1 multiplexer (Fig. 12, elements 611-614).
- 19. With regards to claims 5 and 12, Ross teaches at least one multiplexer can route signals to and from N-1 multiplexers (column 17, line 40, through column 18, line 3, from any other multiplexed line).
- 20. With regards to claims 6, 13, and 19, Ross teaches each multiplexer can route signals to an associated array (column 17, line 40, through column 18, line 3, from any other multiplexed line).
- 21. With regards to claims 7, 14, and 20, Ross teaches a multiplexer shifts signals on an I/O line to an adjacent multiplexer (output of every multiplexer can be provided back to another multiplexer through its connected device before or after processing, column 17, line 40, through column 18, line 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

22. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2182

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 23. Claims 3, 10, and 17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,909,594 to Ross et al. in further view of <u>Logic and Computer Design Fundamentals</u> by Mano and Kime.
- 24. With regards to claims 3, 10, and 17, Ross does not teach the use of at least one 2-to-1 multiplexer. However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have known that higher order multiplexers are built of lower order multiplexers. Mano and Kime show a 4-1 multiplexer built out of cascaded 2-1 multiplexers (pages 119-120, Fig. 3-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use 2-1 multiplexers for building the higher order multiplexers of Ross, in order to build low complexity higher order multiplexers.
- 25. With regards to claim 18, Ross teaches at least one multiplexer is a 3-to-1 multiplexer (Fig. 12, elements 611-614).

Conclusion

26. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 5,680,641 to Sidman teaches the use of a plurality of multiplexer and demultiplexers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua D. Schneider whose telephone number is (571) 272-4158. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,420 Page 8

Art Unit: 2182

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JDS

KIM HUYNH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER